•		
EvaSys	Facilitator_international	Electric Paper
		\odot
Bitte so markieren:	🗌 🔀 🗌 🗌 Bitte verwenden Sie einen Kugelschreiber oder nicht zu starken Filzstift. Dieser Fragebogen wird n	naschinell erfasst.
Korrektur:	🗌 🔳 🗌 🕱 🔲 Bitte beachten Sie im Interesse einer optimalen Datenerfassung die links gegebenen Hinweise bei	m Ausfüllen.

1. Introduction

You have just finished to teach a simulation game. With this survey we invite you to give some feedback on the organisation of the game. The questionnaire is released by the Centre for Managementsimulation at the Cooperative State University in Stuttgart, Germany. Our aim is to compare different simulation games and different settings (e.g. online/onsite) with each other. By taking part in this survey, you provide us with important feedback and help us to learn more about the structure of teaching with simulation games. Many thanks in advance! The collected data is used for reasearch only. All evaluations are carried out anonymously.

2. C	Organisation				
2.1	How did teaching take place?	online via conference (syncronous)	☐ class room teaching	 online asyncronous teaching 	
		other			
2.2	How many periods of the game were simulated?	☐ 3 or less ☐ 6	□ 4 □ 7 or more	 5 no periods in this simulation 	
2.3	How did the simulation take place?	☐ block seminar (e.g. 2 days in a row)	□ spread over the semester		
2.4	2.4 How many hours of teaching (45min) was the simulation?				
2.5	Is there any performance evaluation/ grading in connection with the simulation?	□ yes	🗆 no		
2.6	If yes, how is it graded? (e.g. test, presentation	n or performance in t	the game)		
2.7	How did the students come to the simulation?	The simulation is part of the given curriculum.	Students participated voluntarily.	I as the instructor decided to use a Simulation in the class.	
3. C	Didaktik				
3.1	Were the individual game rounds/game sections evaluated together with the participants?	□ yes	🗆 no		
3.2	If yes, how long did the joint evaluation of one	round take on avera	ige, approximately in	minutes?	
3.3	Were there any theory lessons beyond the evaluation of the rounds?	□ yes	🗆 no		
F20362U0)P1PL0V0			07.06.2022, Seite 1/3	

EvaSys

Electric Paper

3. Didaktik [Fortsetzung]

3.4 If yes, which topics were adressed?

3.5 If yes, what was the total amount of time spent on theory sessions?

4. Students

4.1	How many students participated in the simulat	ion?							
4.2	How many teams were formed for the simulation?	□ 2 □ 5 □ 8 oc	ler >			3 6			
4.3	How were the teams formed?	form tear	students ned the ns nselves.			Stude rando into te	mly di		The students were specifically divided into teams (according to certain criteria).
4.4	During the decision-making phases (work in sr groups), the students worked in an engaged m		strongly sagree	di-					strongly agree
4.5	During the debriefing phases (joint discussion of r the students participated in an engaged manner.	results),	strongly sagree	di-					☐ strongly agree
5. Ir	nstructor								
5.1	How many people led the simulation (including you)?	□ 1 □ 4 or	more			2			3
5.2	Approximately how many times have you taught the simulation in the past 3 years?	□ 1-3				4-9			10 or more
5.3	I would say that I am very familiar with the simulatio	n game.	strongly sagree	di-					☐ strongly agree
5.4	I feel confident while leading the game.		strongly sagree	di-					strongly agree
6. A	bschluss								
6.1	When did the students fill out the questionnaire?	of th	ore the ounceme ne final ne results		_	After anno of the game	uncer e final		After the course has been completed.
6.2	How satisfied are you with the course overall?		v dissatis	ery fied					very satisfied
6.3	Name of the university and faculty the simulation	on was	used:						
• •					_				
6.4	Name of the simulation game:								



EvaSys

Electric Paper

6. Abschluss [Fortsetzung]

6.5 What do you think are the key factors for successful teaching with simulation games?

6.6 If you have any additions to questions, suggestions or criticism, we would be pleased to know about it:

